UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,071	02/09/2005	Hannes Floessholzer	AT 020051	4207	
24737 7590 07/31/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		SEVERSON, RYAN J			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3731			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	Application No.	. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/524,071		FLOESSHOLZER ET AL.			
			xaminer		Art Unit			
		F	Ryan J. Severson		3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INDICATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS CON a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SIX use the application to be	IMUNICATION r, may a reply be tim ((6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 May	2009					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ction is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		al matters nro	secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,,					
		application						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		are withdrawn	nom considerati	OH.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	lection requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) <u> </u>	terview Summary oper No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pa her:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/524,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/18/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnus et al. (2,423,245) in view of Bosland (3,802,309) and Polley (2,951,140).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Magnus et al. disclose a device for extracting hairs using adhesive tape. The device comprises a housing (1, see figure 5) designed to accommodate a supply (2) of depilating tape (7), an application means (8 and 20) for applying the tape, and an opening in the housing to allow access to the skin for the tape. However, Magnus et al. do not disclose the use of a motor to drive the wind-up reel operated by a control button.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,071

Art Unit: 3731

5. Attention is drawn to Bosland, who teaches the use of a motor (27) operated by a control button (60) to drive a supply reel of tape in a tape dispenser for ease and efficiency of operation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the knob, which operates the wind-up reel of Magnus et al., with a motor as taught by Bosland for ease and efficiency.

Page 3

- 6. Further regarding claim 1, the combination of Magnus et al. and Bosland does not disclose a heater for heating the depilating tape. Attention is drawn to Polley, who teaches a heating device (80 and 81) may be used with a spring (100) to heat tape to allow for stronger adhesion between the tape and the surface it is applied to. The heating device can move between a home position away from the tape to a heating position to heat the tape (see column 6, line 47 through column 7, line 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a heating device, as taught by Polley, with the device of the combination of Magnus et al. and Bosland to allow for stronger adhesion between the tape and the surface it is applied to.
- Regarding claim 1, the tape used in Magnus et al. can be varied (see Column 4, Lines 3-12) and therefore the wax depilatory tape of the instant application can be used in the Magnus et al. device. The determination means is interpreted to be the control button released to sever the electrical connection in the device, thereby stopping the movement of the tape. Since the motor turns the wind-up reel, which takes tape directly from the skin and off of the supply reel, an application length can be determined by the

amount of time the control button is pressed. The longer the button is held, the more tape will be affixed to the skin of the patient and retracted.

- 8. Regarding claim 2, the blocking means is interpreted to be the brake of Bosland. When the button is pressed it is in the first position, which drives the motor causing tape to be removed from the supply reel, applied to the skin, and removed and taken-up by the wind-up reel. When the button is released (the second position), the brake engages the drive shaft and stops it from further motion, thereby not allowing any more tape to be removed from the supply reel.
- 9. Regarding claim 3, the application means (8 and 20) of Magnus et al. can have application rollers (see Column 5, Lines 4-7) disposed at the distal ends thereof.
- 10. Regarding claim 4, the cutting device (74) of Bosland could be placed at the end of the device of Magnus et al. in order to cut the tape after it has passed the roller.
- 11. Regarding claim 5, the blocking means interacts with the supply reel by stopping rotation of the motor drive shaft, therefore stopping the removal of tape from the supply.
- 12. Regarding claim 6, the wind-up reel (4) is designed to take up the depilating tape that was previously adhered to the skin.
- 13. Regarding claim 7, the drive connection of Bosland reference is the gear train (28, see figure 7), which connects the drive shaft of the motor to the tape reel. In Bosland, the motor is used to dispense or remove tape from the supply reel. Since Bosland discloses the motor is battery powered the motor is thereby powered by direct current. As is well known in the art, direct current motors are capable of being powered forward and backward. Therefore, the Bosland motor is capable of taking-up tape that

Application/Control Number: 10/524,071

Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

has been previously adhered to the skin of a person. The action of the motor is used to extract the hair that is attached to the adhesive tape, thereby replacing the multiple steps of tensioning the hair with the knob and manually yanking the device away from the skin to extract hair and creating an easier and more efficient operation.

- 14. Regarding claim 8, the means for interrupting the drive connection of Bosland is interpreted to be completion or interruption of the electrical circuit by the control button (60, see figure 3).
- 15. Regarding claim 9, the control button of Bosland actuates the motor and the drive means by completing the electrical circuit, thereby providing power from the battery to the motor to turn the drive shaft, which drives the drive connection or gear train, which then finally turns the wind-up reel to take-up the tape and extract hair.
- 16. Regarding claims 10-12, Polley uses a lever or button (10) to move the heater. However, the combination of Magnus et al., Bosland, and Polley does not disclose a button that moves the heater and either blocks or allows pulling of the tape from the supply. However, it has been held that that broadly providing an automatic or mechanical means to replace a manual activity which accomplished the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art. *In re Venner*, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have made these various functions controlled with a single button instead of multiple buttons to increase the ease of operation.
- 17. Regarding claim 13, the heater is capable of applying heat prior to cutting the tape.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,071 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the combination (particularly Polley) fails to disclose the heater comprises a control arm and a spring attached to the control arm. However, Polley clearly discloses a control arm (lever 10) and a spring (100) used to move the heater between extended (toward the tape) and retracted (away from the tape) positions (see column 6, lines 9-23). Therefore, this argument is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan J. Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30-5:00.
- 20. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,071 Page 7

Art Unit: 3731

21. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731 7/29/09

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731